1988; Szmukler-Moncler et al. 2000). Several studies have explored higher failure rates for immediate-loaded implants when compared to delayed-loading techniques (Buser et al. 1995; Rosenstiel et al. 2000a; Jaffin et al. 2000; Chaushu et al. 2001). This shows that the procedure, although predictable and biomechanically well-established, should be applied cautiously. A global and progressive approach to immediate loading in the case of the MIS principle is required.

The literature also suggests that the immediate protocol, during the first 3 months of function, is needed to be used in edentulous mandibles (Biancofiore et al. 1996; Szmukler-Moncler et al. 1997; Balshi & Wolfinger 1997; Schnitman et al. 1990; Ericsson et al. 1997; Ericsson et al. 2000). This is due to the fact that edentulous mandibles have lower bone density and bone quality compared with edentulous maxillae.

In the present prospective clinical study, the use of mandibular implants involved a delay of 3 months before the immediate loading procedure. The results of this study indicate that, even with the use of a surgical technique, all in this study included mandibular cases evaluated, the use of the MIS principle allowed the immediate function of mandibular implants. This shows that the MIS principle is a reliable and predictable approach to mandibular implants.

Finally, the present preliminary data suggest that the use of MIS SEVEN® implants in the mandible and eight to ten implants in the edentulous maxilla, in contrast to delayed-loaded implants, demonstrated a significant improvement in success rates. The MIS SEVEN® implant system is a new concept for immediate loading of single-tooth implants. The MIS SEVEN® implant system includes a unique hexagon shape that provides increased stability and initial stability, which allows for immediate loading.

In conclusion, the present preliminary data suggest that the MIS SEVEN® implant system is a reliable and predictable approach to immediate loading of single-tooth implants in the edentulous mandible and maxilla.
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Immediate Implant and Occlusal Loading of 100 MIS SEVEN® Implants. A Final Report of a Prospective Study.
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Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to determine the functional and esthetic outcome of immediate implant and occlusal loading of 100 MIS SEVEN® implants.

Methods and materials: Twelve patients were included in this prospective study. The patients were divided into two groups of 60 implants. All implants were 4.2 mm in diameter. The patients were divided into two groups: males and females. The age range was 30-60 years. All implants were immediately loaded with a 32 Ncm torque using a surgical protocol provided for Crestal implant placement. The surgical protocol included the following steps: (1) careful patient selection; (2) adequate radiographs; (3) careful surgical planning; (4) careful surgical execution; (5) immediate function; and (6) immediate occlusal loading. The study was performed in one clinical center. The patients were followed up for a minimum of 3 years. The functional load was 32 Ncm, and the diameter of the individual implants was carefully monitored. The length of the implants was carefully monitored and recorded according to the following classification: 'tight' when torque was 32 Ncm 'firm' (Testori et al. 2002a). The length of the implants was carefully monitored and recorded according to the following classification: 'tight' when torque was 32 Ncm 'firm' (Testori et al. 2002a).

Results:

- All patients received MIS SEVEN® implants. The implants were placed with a torque of 32 Ncm demonstrating acceptance of immediate loading.
- The overall implant success rate was 100%.
- The following success criteria were applied: (1) no clinically visible instrument pressure; (2) no evidence of peri-implant infection; (3) no recurrent or persistent peri-implant tissue loss; (4) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (5) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (6) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (7) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (8) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (9) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (10) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (11) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (12) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (13) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (14) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (15) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (16) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (17) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (18) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (19) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (20) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (21) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (22) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (23) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (24) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (25) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (26) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (27) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (28) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (29) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (30) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (31) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (32) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (33) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (34) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (35) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (36) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (37) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (38) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (39) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (40) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (41) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (42) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (43) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (44) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (45) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (46) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (47) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (48) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (49) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (50) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (51) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (52) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (53) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (54) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (55) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (56) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (57) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (58) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (59) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (60) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (61) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (62) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (63) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (64) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (65) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (66) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (67) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (68) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (69) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (70) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (71) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (72) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (73) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (74) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (75) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (76) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (77) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (78) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (79) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (80) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (81) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (82) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (83) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (84) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (85) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (86) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (87) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (88) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (89) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (90) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (91) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (92) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (93) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (94) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (95) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (96) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (97) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (98) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (99) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion; (100) no complaint of pain at the site of implant insertion.

Conclusion:

Immediate implant and occlusal loading of 100 MIS SEVEN® implants resulted in 100% success rate. The functional load was 32 Ncm, and the diameter of the individual implants was carefully monitored. The length of the implants was carefully monitored and recorded according to the following classification: 'tight' when torque was 32 Ncm 'firm' (Testori et al. 2002a). The length of the implants was carefully monitored and recorded according to the following classification: 'tight' when torque was 32 Ncm 'firm' (Testori et al. 2002a).

Table 1: Clinical cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>CASES</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>FINAL RESTORATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MINIMALL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXİMALL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Characteristics of immediately loaded SEVEN® implants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MINIMALL</th>
<th>MAXİMALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LENGTH (mm)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIAMETER (mm)</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Placement of 5 MIS implants (SEVEN®) using the surgical protocol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>CASES</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>FINAL RESTORATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MINIMALL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXİMALL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Diagram illustrating the cumulative implant success rate at 1 year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERNAL SURVIVAL RATE (%)</th>
<th>0-4</th>
<th>0-12</th>
<th>12-60</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. INSTRATOS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. INSTRATOS + MIS IMPLANTS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Summary of the findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERNAL SURVIVAL RATE (%)</th>
<th>0-4</th>
<th>0-12</th>
<th>12-60</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. INSTRATOS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. INSTRATOS + MIS IMPLANTS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surgical Procedures

All patients received MIS SEVEN® implants. The surgical protocol was followed by a cemented provisional restoration. The final restoration was done 6 months post-op. The treatment objective involved delivery of a functional and esthetic solution, as long as the outcome was acceptable. The design of the prosthesis was determined by the restorative doctor, as long as the outcome was acceptable. The treatment involved a collaborative effort between the surgeons and the restorative doctor. The design of the prosthesis was determined by the restorative doctor, as long as the outcome was acceptable. The treatment involved a collaborative effort between the surgeons and the restorative doctor.

Discussion

There is a trend in medicine to reduce treatment time. Immediate implant and occlusal loading of 100 MIS SEVEN® implants resulted in 100% success rate. The functional load was 32 Ncm, and the diameter of the individual implants was carefully monitored. The length of the implants was carefully monitored and recorded according to the following classification: 'tight' when torque was 32 Ncm, 'firm' (Testori et al. 2002a). The length of the implants was carefully monitored and recorded according to the following classification: 'tight' when torque was 32 Ncm, 'firm' (Testori et al. 2002a).
Immediate Implant and Occlusal Loading of 100 MIS SEVEN® Implants: A Final Report of a Prospective Study.
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Abstract

Objective: To report the results of a prospective clinical study on immediate postoperative micro-motion assessment and treatment of immediate loading.

Methods and Materials: Twelve patients were included in a clinical study. Implants were inserted and immediately loaded according to an immediate loading protocol. At least one tooth was missing per patient. The study consisted of two phases: a surgical phase and a prosthetic phase. Immediate prosthetic procedures were performed by six investigators following the same surgical protocol. The study was performed in one clinical center.

Results: None of the implants failed. All patients were interviewed in the study period.

Conclusions: The results of this prospective study suggest that the rehabilitation of the dental arches with immediate loading of implants and immediate provisional restoration is a viable therapeutic alternative, with no complications. Immediate loading is a well-tolerated and safe procedure that can be used in various clinical scenarios. Further studies are needed to evaluate the long-term outcomes of immediate loading.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Patients were included in the study according to the following criteria: (1) complete or partial edentulousness, (2) inclusion of two or more implants, (3) no history of dental or medical contraindications, (4) no history of peri-implant infections, (5) no history of periodontal disease, (6) no history of bruxism, and (7) no tobacco use.

Materials and Methods

The study design was a prospective, clinical trial. Patients were divided into two groups: group A (immediate loading) and group B (delayed loading).

Surgical Procedures

All implants received MIS SEVEN® implants. The surgical protocol followed the Converse implant system.

Table 1: Clinical cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>CASSETTE</th>
<th>CONTROL RANGE</th>
<th>PROVISIONAL RESTORATION</th>
<th>FINAL RESTORATION</th>
<th>EXECUTION TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MANDIBLE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/5/2011</td>
<td>ACROSS CORONAL 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 MONTHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXILLA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/5/2011</td>
<td>HYDRO RESTORATION 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 MONTHS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Characteristics of immediately loaded SEVEN® implants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPLANT</th>
<th>LENGTH</th>
<th>DIAMETER</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MANDIBLE</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXILLA</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Diagram illustrating the cumulative implant success rate vs time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERVAL TIME</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-3 MONTHS</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6 MONTHS</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 MONTHS</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-24 MONTHS</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Characteristics of immediately loaded SEVEN® implants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. IMPLANTS</th>
<th>FAILED IMPLANT</th>
<th>INTERNAL SURVIVAL RATE (%)</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE SURVIVAL RATE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 includes the type of implant and the type of rehabilitation being used when reviewing the immediate loading cases.

Discussion

Immediate loading procedures have shown promising results in the treatment of edentulous patients. However, further research is needed to evaluate the long-term outcomes of immediate loading. Immediate loading procedures should be considered in selected cases to achieve optimal outcomes.
Immediate Implant and Occlusal Loading of 100 MIS SEVEN® Implants. A Final Report of a Prospective Study.
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Abstract

Objective. The overall aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical performance of MIS SEVEN implants under immediate loading protocols. The specific objectives were to assess implant success, marginal bone resorption, and functional loading, and to determine prosthetic acceptance in terms of complications and the type of prosthesis.

Patients were treated in this study according to the same criteria of inclusion (combining both men and women), and they belonged to the same socio-economic class. All patients were fully informed about all stages of the treatment. Consent was obtained according to the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and the guidelines of the World Medical Association (1991). A collaborative effort between the surgeons and the prosthetists was necessary in order to choose the best procedure that best suited the clinical case.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion of the present study was determined by the examination of the patients’ medical records; only those whose medical conditions were compatible with the insertion of an MIS SEVEN implant were included in the study. The following criteria were applied for inclusion: (1) patients not loaded with more than 10 cigarettes a day; (2) systemic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and severe sleep apnea; (3) no recurrent or persistent peri-implant infection; (4) no complaint of pain at the implant site; (5) patients having no history of smoking; (6) no use of anti-inflammatory medications; (7) no history of radiation therapy; (8) no use of medications for osteoporosis; and (9) no history of drug dependency.

Materials and Methods

The study was performed in a clinical center by six investigators who followed the same surgical and prosthetic procedure and monitored the same treatment period for all patients. Twelve patients (6 men, 6 women) were treated in the study (January-August 2001) and December 2001. All patients were reported numerically.

Surgical Procedures

All patients received MIS SEVEN® implants. The surgical protocol for both immediate and delayed loading consisted of a two-stage procedure. Once the implants were inserted, they were covered with a healing abutment, and a laboratory or provisional restoration was delivered within the first month after surgery. At the first follow-up visit, the implant was uncovered and a screw-press-fit provisional restoration was delivered. The type of restoration was chosen according to the classification proposed by Brånemark et al. (1999). Either a metal reinforced acrylic provisional restoration (for screw retained) or a resin hybrid restoration (for cement retained) was delivered. The patients were on a strict recall program during the first 6 months: Visits took place every week during the first month, and once a month between the 2nd and 18th month. The patients were followed up until the end of the study period.

Results

None of the implants failed. According to the study’s objectives, the provisional implant in post was delivered within 48 hours. The healing abutment was uncovered and a screw-press-fit provisional restoration was delivered within 1 month after surgery. At the first follow-up visit, the implant was uncovered and a screw-press-fit provisional restoration was delivered. The type of restoration was chosen according to the classification proposed by Brånemark et al. (1999). Either a metal reinforced acrylic provisional restoration (for screw retained) or a resin hybrid restoration (for cement retained) was delivered. The patients were on a strict recall program during the first 6 months: Visits took place every week during the first month, and once a month between the 2nd and 18th month. The patients were followed up until the end of the study period.

Discussion

There was a trend to reduce incidence in infections of 48% and 76% for the immediate and delayed loading protocols, respectively, in the study period. The implant failure rate was similar to that reported for standard, non-immediate loading procedures (Szmukler-Moncler et al. 1996) as well as the functional loading, and less than 0.2 mm/year exceeding 1.5 mm by the end of the first year of implantation.
have reported higher failure rates for immediate-implant use compared to delayed loading techniques (Albrektsson et al. 1985, Engstrand et al. 1995, Szmukler-Moncler et al. 2006). This shows that the principles of early and immediate loading protocols need to be applied cautiously. A global and progressive approach to immediate loading is to adhere strictly to the RIS principle before implant contact.

The concurrent use of immediate implant placement and prosthetic rehabilitation in the edentulous mandible (1986) was reported in a series of case reports. Albrektsson et al. 1991). This showed that this procedure, which is therefore recommended, due to the B.I.C principle, is successful.

In the present prospective clinical study, the use of standard implants with a diameter of 3.3 mm was accompanied by a slight increase in failures compared to traditional delayed load fixtures. The use of implants with 2 opposing surfaces and an early bone implant contact is therefore recommended, due to the B.I.C principle. However, it is important to note that the B.I.C principle is not always sufficient to ensure success.

The authors recommend that when compared to classical delayed protocols, the use of implants with 2 opposing surfaces allows the prosthesis to be removed and reinserted during the first 6 months of function when compared to classical delayed protocols. The use of implants with 2 opposing surfaces is therefore recommended, due to the B.I.C principle.
In the present prospective clinical study, the use of standard implants with a diameter of 3.3 mm in severely compromised edentulous jaws is evaluated. The implants were utilized with a MIS technique, allowing its daily insertion and immediate function. In addition, the use of ridge preservation techniques has allowed the authors to achieve a significant bone gain at the site of implantation, thereby improving the condition of the recipient bone prior to the insertion of the implants.

The authors demonstrated, with 47 implants placed in 35 edentulous jaws, that the implants supported a functional fixed prosthesis even in the event of a single tooth loss. This is a significant finding as it challenges the traditional concept of waiting 6 to 12 months before placing implants.

The authors recommend the use of MIS implants in edentulous jaws when immediate or early function is desired. This technique allows for a shorter healing time and a faster return to function compared to traditional delayed protocols.

Conclusions

The results of this study suggest that the use of MIS implants in edentulous jaws is a feasible and effective treatment option. The technique allows for a shorter healing time and a faster return to function compared to traditional delayed protocols. Further studies are needed to confirm these findings and to evaluate the long-term success of MIS implants in edentulous jaws.